UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,699	07/06/2006	Donald D Cooper	990029.00002	3811
QUARLES & F	7590 08/04/200 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			KESSLER, CHRISTOPHER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/559,699	COOPER, DONALD D				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHRISTOPHER KESSLER	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u>	av 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
a)						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) Intomious Comments	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/559,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Responsive to the amendment filed 14 May 2009, claim 3 is amended. Claims 1-11 are currently under examination.

Status of Previous Rejections

Responsive to the amendment filed 14 May 2009, the rejections under 35 USC
 112 are traversed. The rejections based on the prior art are maintained. New grounds of rejection are presented.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 14 May 2009 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The examiner has not received any of foreign patent documents 2 and 3 or NPL document 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/559,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent document JP 60-63301 (English abstract attached; hereinafter "Oaku").

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 4-11, Oaku is applied to the claims as stated in the previous Office action.

Regarding claim 3, Oaku does not describe the compaction as claimed.

However, the compaction at both sides of the opening would have taken place from both directions along the axis defining the direction of gravity, due to Newton's third law of motion. Thus, this feature would have been inherent in the method of Oaku.

Applicant is further directed to MPEP 2112.01.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 14 May 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Oaku does not teach a powder metal compact having a hole that extends through the component in a direction perpendicular to the direction of compaction. However, this is not what is claimed in instant claim 1. Instant claim 1 claims that an opening through a wall of the compact is formed perpendicular to the axis of compaction where the two faces of the die set meet. The rod of Oaku does indeed define an opening in the wall. The wall of the part is semicircular in a direction parallel

to the axis of compaction. There is an opening in said wall perpendicular to the axis of compaction, which is defined between the fork ends of the wall. Or to describe the rod differently; there is a hole or opening in wall defining the end of the rod, causing the rod end to be semicircular instead of circular. This opening is formed as a result of die faces meeting, and an absence of powder where the die faces meet. There is a slot adjacent to said opening (see drawing 1). Applicant is further directed to MPEP 2111.

The examiner strongly suggests amending the claims to better describe the nature of the invention and place the application in better condition for examination. For example, the instant invention requires at least two undercuts (or overhangs) in the tooling, the overlap of which defines the opening through the wall of the component. Such a feature is not present in Oaku.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER KESSLER whose telephone number is (571)272-6510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Application/Control Number: 10/559,699

Page 6

Art Unit: 1793